

Message

---

**From:** Crawford, Dorothy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B22442C0DAD249C1B798271CB981B12F-CRAWFORD, DOROTHY]  
**Sent:** 5/11/2018 1:28:40 PM  
**To:** Baca, Michael, NMENV [michael.baca1@state.nm.us]; Singleton, Kerwin, NMENV [Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us]  
**CC:** Verhalen, Frances [verhalen.frances@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

Mike, Thanks for the input. We need to discuss the EE Demonstration(s) submittal schedule during our next call on 6/6/18. The NMED resources you plan to invest in preparing the EE Demonstration(s) for the 2015 PM10 exceedances might be better expended on 2016 and 2017 exceedances, if the 2015 package is submitted mid-Jan of 2019.

The 2015 PM10 exceedances lose regulatory significance for Design Value purposes when you certify the 2018 data. The Data Certification package for the 2018 data will be due by May 1, 2019. If the Region is able to conform to the 4/12/18 national directive to 'take final action within 120 days' of EE Demonstration submittal, the Region's decision on the data exclusion request would occur during the week of May 13, 2019, (~17 weeks after proposed submittal). If we concur, there might be no Design Value regulatory significance of the decision for the 2015 PM10 exceedances. Perhaps, investing your effort in the 2016 and 2017 PM10 exceedances should be a higher priority than working on the 2015 PM10 exceedances. Let's talk about this during our next call. Thanks

Dorothy Crawford  
U.S. EPA, Region 6, Air Monitoring  
(214) 665-2771

---

**From:** Baca, Michael, NMENV [mailto:michael.baca1@state.nm.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 10, 2018 3:07 PM  
**To:** Crawford, Dorothy <Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov>; Singleton, Kerwin, NMENV <Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us>  
**Cc:** Verhalen, Frances <verhalen.frances@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

Hi Dot,

Kerwin and I discussed an end of year due date (12/31/18) to complete a 2015 demonstration and public review period. To give us time to respond to any comments and handle scheduling around the Holidays, I think a due date of January 15, 2019 would be possible.

Thank you,

Mike

---

**From:** Crawford, Dorothy [mailto:Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 10, 2018 12:31 PM  
**To:** Singleton, Kerwin, NMENV <Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us>; Baca, Michael, NMENV <michael.baca1@state.nm.us>  
**Cc:** Verhalen, Frances <verhalen.frances@epa.gov>; Intermont, Donna, NMENV <Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us>; Fisher, Judy, NMENV <judy.fisher@state.nm.us>; Hilbert, Mary, NMENV <mary.hilbert@state.nm.us>; Butt, Neal, NMENV <Neal.Butt@state.nm.us>  
**Subject:** RE: NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

Our next conference call is 6/6/18.

Would it be possible to get NMED's opinion on target due date for the 2015 PM10 exceedances. We would like to respond to the 2016 letter, which we are considering as the Initial Notification under the 2016 Exceptional Event Rule. In order to respond, we need to indicate a target due date(s) for the 2015 PM10 Exceptional Event Demonstrations. You know the event specifics better than we do and your workloads. What would be reasonable or doable target date(s) for demonstration(s) submittal? Thanks

[I have 2 more days in the office prior to a 2 week vacation, so I would like to get the response to you before I leave.]

Dorothy Crawford  
U.S. EPA, Region 6, Air Monitoring  
(214) 665-2771

---

**From:** Crawford, Dorothy  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 9:51 AM  
**To:** 'Singleton,Kerwin, NMENV' <[Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us](mailto:Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us)>; Baca, Michael, NMENV <[michael.baca1@state.nm.us](mailto:michael.baca1@state.nm.us)>  
**Cc:** Verhalen, Frances <[verhalen.frances@epa.gov](mailto:verhalen.frances@epa.gov)>; Intermont, Donna, NMENV <[Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us](mailto:Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us)>; [judy.fisher@state.nm.us](mailto:judy.fisher@state.nm.us); [mary.hilbert@state.nm.us](mailto:mary.hilbert@state.nm.us); Butt, Neal, NMENV <[Neal.Butt@state.nm.us](mailto:Neal.Butt@state.nm.us)>  
**Subject:** RE: NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

I would prefer specific (month day). If you provide me with less specific time frame, such as July 2018, I will recommend to management that the response letter call for target due date of July 31, 2018. In our response, we will indicate a specific data but use the qualifier 'target'. There are no adverse consequences if the target due date in our response is not met by NMED. The date in our response is to foster better mutual understanding.

There are 5 incident days in 2015 which NMED labeled measurements in AQS as being caused by high winds (5 incident days, 3 monitors, 6 monitor days/exceedances). If you want you can either plan to submit all 5 incident days at one time, or space them out. If you want to space them out, I will need multiple target due dates – general or specific.

Dorothy Crawford  
U.S. EPA, Region 6, Air Monitoring  
(214) 665-2771

---

**From:** Singleton,Kerwin, NMENV [<mailto:Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 9:41 AM  
**To:** Crawford, Dorothy <[Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov](mailto:Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov)>; Baca, Michael, NMENV <[michael.baca1@state.nm.us](mailto:michael.baca1@state.nm.us)>  
**Cc:** Verhalen, Frances <[verhalen.frances@epa.gov](mailto:verhalen.frances@epa.gov)>; Intermont, Donna, NMENV <[Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us](mailto:Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us)>; [judy.fisher@state.nm.us](mailto:judy.fisher@state.nm.us); [mary.hilbert@state.nm.us](mailto:mary.hilbert@state.nm.us); Butt, Neal, NMENV <[Neal.Butt@state.nm.us](mailto:Neal.Butt@state.nm.us)>  
**Subject:** RE: NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

Dot,  
What level of specificity are you requesting for 2015 PM10 exceedances?  
Thanks,

Kerwin C. Singleton

Manager, Control Strategies  
NMED-AQB  
505.476.4350

---

**From:** Crawford, Dorothy [<mailto:Crawford.Dorothy@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 8:30 AM  
**To:** Baca, Michael, NMENV <[michael.baca1@state.nm.us](mailto:michael.baca1@state.nm.us)>  
**Cc:** Verhalen, Frances <[verhalen.frances@epa.gov](mailto:verhalen.frances@epa.gov)>; Intermont, Donna, NMENV <[Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us](mailto:Donna.Intermont1@state.nm.us)>; Singleton, Kerwin, NMENV <[Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us](mailto:Kerwin.Singleton@state.nm.us)>; Fisher, Judy, NMENV <[judy.fisher@state.nm.us](mailto:judy.fisher@state.nm.us)>; Hilbert, Mary, NMENV <[mary.hilbert@state.nm.us](mailto:mary.hilbert@state.nm.us)>; Butt, Neal, NMENV <[Neal.Butt@state.nm.us](mailto:Neal.Butt@state.nm.us)>  
**Subject:** NMED 2015 Exceptional Event Demonstration - Initial Notification

This is a follow-up to last week's conference call. Our next regularly scheduled call is Wed 6/6/18.

Region 6 can consider the NMED 6/28/16 letter regarding the 2015 PM10 exceedances measured at monitors in Luna and Dona Ana Counties, to be the Initial Notification for purposes of the 2016 Exceptional Event Rule. We plan to respond to the NMED 6/28/2016 letter with target date(s) for the submittal of the Exceptional Event Demonstrations for the exceedances. Please provide input on appropriate date(s) considering NMED workload and the case specifics of the various incidents which NMED believes caused the 2015 PM10 exceedances.

As we discussed last week, Initial Notification(s) with suggested target due dates for demonstrations are still needed for the PM10 exceedances measured at monitors in Luna and Dona Ana Counties in 2016 and 2017. Thanks.

Dorothy Crawford  
U.S. EPA, Region 6, Air Monitoring  
(214) 665-2771